

Approximation of the conditional number of exceedances

Han Liang Gan

University of Melbourne

July 9, 2013

Joint work with Aihua Xia

Conditional exceedances

Conditional exceedances

Given a sequence of identical but not independent random variables X_1, \dots, X_n , define

$$N_{s,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{X_i > s\}}.$$

Conditional exceedances

Given a sequence of identical but not independent random variables X_1, \dots, X_n , define

$$N_{s,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{X_i > s\}}.$$

The fragility index of order m , denoted $Fl_n(m)$ is defined as

$$Fl_n(m) = \lim_{s \uparrow} \mathbb{E}(N_{s,n} | N_{s,n} \geq m).$$

Conditional exceedances

Given a sequence of identical but not independent random variables X_1, \dots, X_n , define

$$N_{s,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{X_i > s\}}.$$

The fragility index of order m , denoted $Fl_n(m)$ is defined as

$$Fl_n(m) = \lim_{s \uparrow} \mathbb{E}(N_{s,n} | N_{s,n} \geq m).$$

Applications: Insurance.

Compound Poisson limit

Under certain conditions, Tsing et. al. (1988) showed that the exceedance process converges to a Compound Poisson limit.

Assumptions

Assumptions

- Existence of the limits.

Assumptions

- Existence of the limits.
- Tail behaviour is *nice* enough.

Assumptions

- Existence of the limits.
- Tail behaviour is *nice* enough.
- Mixing condition.

Assumptions

- Existence of the limits.
- Tail behaviour is *nice* enough.
- Mixing condition.
- Uniform convergence.

Assumptions

- Existence of the limits.
- Tail behaviour is *nice* enough.
- Mixing condition.
- Uniform convergence.
- Uniform integrability.

Alternatives

In contrast to limit theorems, we can use approximation theory. Our tool of choice will be Stein's method.

Alternatives

In contrast to limit theorems, we can use approximation theory. Our tool of choice will be Stein's method.

For the next section of the talk, we shall stick to conditioning on just one exceedance, Poisson approximation, and total variation distance.

A simple example

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sequence of i.i.d. exponential random variables, $\rho = \rho_s = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > s)$ and $Z_\lambda \sim \text{Po}(\lambda)$.

A simple example

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sequence of i.i.d. exponential random variables, $\rho = \rho_s = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > s)$ and $Z_\lambda \sim Po(\lambda)$.

$$d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}(N^1), Po^1(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mathbb{P}(N^1 = j) - \mathbb{P}(Z_\lambda^1 = j)|.$$

A simple example (2)

Set λ such that $e^{-\lambda} = (1 - p)^n$.

A simple example (2)

Set λ such that $e^{-\lambda} = (1 - p)^n$.

So now we have

$$d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}(N^1), Po^1(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \mathbb{P}(N = 0))} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mathbb{P}(N = j) - \mathbb{P}(Z_\lambda = j)|.$$

A simple example (3)

Set $\lambda^* = np$, and we can reduce the problem to known results.

$$d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}(N^1), Po^1(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \mathbb{P}(N = 0))} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [|\mathbb{P}(N = j) - \mathbb{P}(Z_{\lambda^*} = j)| + |\mathbb{P}(Z_{\lambda^*} = j) - \mathbb{P}(Z_{\lambda} = j)|].$$

A simple example (3)

Set $\lambda^* = np$, and we can reduce the problem to known results.

$$d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}(N^1), Po^1(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \mathbb{P}(N = 0))} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [|\mathbb{P}(N = j) - \mathbb{P}(Z_{\lambda^*} = j)| + |\mathbb{P}(Z_{\lambda^*} = j) - \mathbb{P}(Z_{\lambda} = j)|].$$

Using results from Barbour, Holst and Janson (1992), it can be shown that:

$$d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}(N^1), Po^1(\lambda)) = p + o(p).$$

The conditional Poisson Stein Identity

Lemma

$W \sim Po^1(\lambda)$ if and only if for all bounded functions $g : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E} [\lambda g(W + 1) - Wg(W) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{W \geq 2\}}] = 0.$$

Stein's method in one slide

We construct a function g for any set A , that satisfies:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{j \in A\}} - Po^1(\lambda)\{A\} = \lambda g(j+1) - jg(j) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{j \geq 2\}}.$$

Stein's method in one slide

We construct a function g for any set A , that satisfies:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{j \in A\}} - Po^1(\lambda)\{A\} = \lambda g(j+1) - jg(j) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{j \geq 2\}}.$$

It now follows that,

$$\mathbb{P}(W \in A) - Po(\lambda)\{A\} = \mathbb{E} [\lambda g(W+1) - Wg(W) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{W \geq 2\}}].$$

Stein's method in one slide

We construct a function g for any set A , that satisfies:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{j \in A\}} - Po^1(\lambda)\{A\} = \lambda g(j+1) - jg(j) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{j \geq 2\}}.$$

It now follows that,

$$\mathbb{P}(W \in A) - Po(\lambda)\{A\} = \mathbb{E} [\lambda g(W+1) - Wg(W) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{W \geq 2\}}].$$

We then only need to bound the right hand side.

Generator interpretation

If we set $g(w) = h(w) - h(w - 1)$, the equation becomes:

Generator interpretation

If we set $g(w) = h(w) - h(w - 1)$, the equation becomes:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda (h(W + 1) - h(W)) - W(h(W) - h(W - 1)) \mathbf{1}_{\{W \geq 2\}} \right].$$

Generator interpretation

If we set $g(w) = h(w) - h(w - 1)$, the equation becomes:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda (h(W + 1) - h(W)) - W(h(W) - h(W - 1)) \mathbf{1}_{\{W \geq 2\}} \right].$$

This looks like the generator for a immigration death process.

Generator interpretation

If we set $g(w) = h(w) - h(w - 1)$, the equation becomes:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda (h(W + 1) - h(W)) - W(h(W) - h(W - 1)) \mathbf{1}_{\{W \geq 2\}} \right].$$

This looks like the generator for a immigration death process.

Moreover, the stationary distribution for this generator is $Po^1(\lambda)$.

Stein Factors

We can use theorem 2.10 from Brown & Xia (2001) to get:

Stein Factors

We can use theorem 2.10 from Brown & Xia (2001) to get:

Theorem

The solution g that satisfies the Stein Equation for the total variation distance satisfies:

$$\|\Delta g\| \leq \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda} - \lambda e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda(1 - e^{-\lambda})}.$$

The example revisited

The example revisited

Recall that from before:

$$d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}(N^1), Po^1(\lambda)) = p + o(p).$$

The example revisited

Recall that from before:

$$d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}(N^1), Po^1(\lambda)) = p + o(p).$$

Using Stein's method directly for conditional Poisson approximation, it can be shown that:

$$d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}(N^1), Po^1(\lambda^*)) = \frac{p}{2} + o(p).$$

Generalisations

The conditional Poisson Stein Identity generalises for conditioning on multiple exceedances:

Generalisations

The conditional Poisson Stein Identity generalises for conditioning on multiple exceedances:

Lemma

$W \sim Po^a(\lambda)$ if and only if for all bounded functions $g : \{a, a + 1, \dots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E} [\lambda g(W + 1) - Wg(W) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{W > a\}}] = 0.$$

Negative Binomial Approximation

We can do exactly the same for negative binomial random variables.

Lemma

$W \sim Nb^a(r, p)$ if and only if for all bounded functions $g : \{a, a + 1, \dots\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(1 - p)(r + W)g(W) - Wg(W) \right] \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{W > a\}} = 0.$$

Different metrics

Have we solved our original problem? Can we calculate errors when estimating fragility indices?

Different metrics

Have we solved our original problem? Can we calculate errors when estimating fragility indices?

No, we still have the uniform integrability problem.

Different metrics

Have we solved our original problem? Can we calculate errors when estimating fragility indices?

No, we still have the uniform integrability problem.

We need to use a stronger metric, such as the Wasserstein distance.